UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,702	09/07/2004	Michael J Breslin	21047YP	3343
210 7590 09/07/2007 MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000			EXAMINER	
			HAVLIN, ROBERT H	
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/506,702	BRESLIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert Havlin	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. RANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 6, and 8-10 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/506,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, and 10 are currently pending. Claims 7, 11-13, 15, 16, 23-25, 35 and 36 were cancelled.

Rejection of Claims 3 and 4

In the previous office action claims 3 and 4 were rejected as being anticipated by Chimenti et al. The applicant has amended the claims to avoid anticipation by the teachings of Chimenti et al., therefore the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) is hereby withdrawn. Since the definitions of the variable group R2 still includes phenyl substituted by halo, CN, NH2, etc. the claim is now rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as follows.

1. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chimenti et al. (European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 1992, 27(6), 633-9) in view of Patani et al. (Chem. Rev., 1996, Vol. 96, No. 8, P. 3147-3176).

The claims read on molecules of the formula

wherein X can be

CN, NH2, halo, etc.

Teachings of the prior art

Chimenti et al. teaches enzyme inhibitor molecules including the species of

Application/Control Number: 10/506,702 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

Patani et al. teaches the methodology of bioisosterism in drug design. Specifically, the reference teaches a method of arriving at the optimal drug by substituting known groups with their bioisosteres in biologically active molecules. In particular on page 3150, table and figure 6 the reference shows success in substituting NH2 for OH on a phenyl ringin a drug molecule.

Differences between the claims and the prior art

The difference between the prior art and the claims is the substitution of OH for NH2 at

position X in the formula

Obviousness of the differences

One of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably be expected to be aware of the teachings of both prior art references since they are in the same field of endeavor, namely pharmaceutical development. Since the instant application seeks to invent small molecule inhibitors of enzymes, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated specifically to look to the teachings of Chimenti et al. and find the molecule recitied above. One of ordinary skill in the art would use the widely known methods of bioisosterism, specifically taught by Patani et al., and immediately look to making substitutions on phenyl rings with bioisosteres to optimize the activity of the drug lead. For example, one of ordinary skill in the art would see the successes such as in table 6 and be motivated to make the same OH to NH2 substitution and arrive at the claimed invention. Therefore, the claims are obvious over the prior art.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Objections

Claims 6, 8, 9, and 10 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim.

Conclusion

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected and the remaining pending claims are objected to. Since the applicant's amendments eliminated unpatentable Markush-type claim alternatives to avoid prior art rejections from the first office action, and the amendments necessitated the new basis of rejection, this action is properly **made final**.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Havlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-9066. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 7:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,702 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert Havlin Examiner

RH

AMALA. SAEED, PH.D.